

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,565	10/19/2001	Mehran Bashiri	1001.1504101	1828	
28075 75	28075 7590 03/10/2004			EXAMINER	
	SEAGER & TUFTE	WOO, JULIAN W			
1221 NICOLLE	ET AVENUE				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			3731	115	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	, <i>I</i> O	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_		10/045,565	BASHIRI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Julian W. Woo	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u>	ebruary 2004.				
•		s action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-19 and 21-29 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14-19 and 21-29 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents and/or contents are subject.	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Dobjected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*··				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been r uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
2) D Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6.9</u> .	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12, 14-19, 21-25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patterson et al. (5,941,869). Patterson et al. disclose, in figures 30-32 and in col. 24, line 38 to col. 25, line 15, an embolus extractor and a method of withdrawing an embolus extractor, where the extractor has an elongate shaft (56), first and second metal or radiopaque struts (96), a sleeve (distal of 96), a third strut (98, or a second strut with respect to claim 27, where the strut has a transverse cross-sectional area less than the cross-sectional area of the first strut), and a microcatheter (99); and where the distal and proximal ends of the first and second struts are spaced at a first distance in a first position (see fig. 30) and at a lesser, second distance in a second position (see fig. 31). In the first position, the struts are disposed generally parallel to and adjacent the shaft. In the second position, the struts define a generally circular mouth and a distally tapering body and extend from the shaft at an angle between 45 degrees to 90 degrees.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/045,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 11 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patterson et al. in view of Gibbs et al. (5,330,482). Patterson et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed, but do not disclose NiTi alloy as the shape memory metal for the struts. Gibbs et al. teach, in col. 3, lines 17-22, an embolus extractor with NiTi alloy struts. It would have bee obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Gibbs et al., to apply a NiTi alloy to the struts of Patterson et al. Such a material not only has a shape memory, it is biocompatible and strong.
- 5. Claim 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patterson et al. in view of Ladd (6,059,814). Patterson et al. disclose the invention substantially

Application/Control Number: 10/045,565

Art Unit: 3731

as claimed, but do not disclose radiopaque markers on the microcatheter and the embolus extractor. Ladd teaches, in col 6, line 66 to col.7, line 8, radiopaque markers on a microcatheter and an embolus extractor. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Ladd, to include radiopaque markers on the invention of Patterson et al. Such markers would allow location of the device within a patient's body.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on January 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to arguments regarding the rejections based on the references of Patterson et al., Gibbs et al., and Ladd: These three references disclose struts or wires constructed to form generally circular mouths at proximal portions of the struts or wires, while Patterson et al. and Gibbs et al. disclose open structures. Regarding Patterson et al. specifically: Even though the supporting members (96) "appear to gently arch from a proximal mounting point to a distal mounting point," a proximal, open, and generally circular mouth is formed by the members nonetheless.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/045,565

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3731

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached at (703) 308-2496.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9302.

Julian W. Woo Primary Examiner

Vulian W. Woo

Time, alternate Fridays off.

March 9, 2004